Executive summary

This policy briefing examines issues around climate change and migration in the renewed UN–AU partnership. It notes that climate change and migration are particularly problematic for any sustainable development efforts in Africa. Despite the adoption of the Joint UN–AU Framework for Enhanced Partnership in Peace and Security in 2017 and the subsequent finalisation of the AU–UN Framework on Implementation of Agenda 2063 and Agenda 2030 barely a year later, the two organisations still lack a common position on how best to respond to the challenges of climate change and human mobility in Africa. To ensure an effective partnership in these two areas, the AU and the UN need to engage more closely and in a coherent manner.
Introduction

In April 2017, the UN and AU through their respective chief executives countersigned the Joint UN–AU Framework for Enhanced Partnership in Peace and Security. This development forms the basis for closer and multi-level collaboration between the two bodies. Beyond the focus on peace and security, which hitherto dominated activities in the relationship but have now become more complex and intricately linked to other global issues, the renewed partnership seeks to consider non-conventional and emerging threats to global security. These include climate change, good governance, human rights, migration and terrorism.

Of these priorities, climate change and migration have recently been recognised as two critical challenges facing the entire world. While African migration is at the centre of the refugee crisis within and outside the continent, climate change poses an existential threat not only to this generation but also to future generations, with significant intergenerational implications for Africa’s large youthful population. The characterisation of Africa as a continent more vulnerable to climate change given its high exposure and low adaptive capacity further exacerbates the situation. In addition, climate change and migration are politically sensitive issues with relatively deep and complex connections. Although not the only or, even worse, the main determinant of migration, environmental stress does induce human mobility. This climate–migration nexus has been confirmed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change in its recent reports, which make extensive references to extreme weather events as potential climate drivers of human migration. The forced migration of thousands of people by tropical cyclones Idai and Kenneth in Mozambique in 2019 was a clear example.

Beyond a peace and security partnership

Prior to the decision to move the UN–AU partnership away from just a focus on peace and security matters, climate change and migration were part of formal discussions in the agendas of the two bodies. Over the years, UN specialised agencies such as UN Environment (UNEP), the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and
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the World Meteorological Organization have focused attention on environmental phenomena, including climate change. Similarly, the International Organization for Migration and UN High Commissioner for Refugees have dedicated approaches to migration and refugee issues respectively. At the regional level, the African Ministerial Conference on the Environment sets the continental agenda and priorities related to the environment. The high-level gathering is essential in collective decision-making about climate change in Africa and, indeed, works closely with the AU and the UN (through UNEP). The efforts of the AU Commission to address migration on the continent through programmes such as the Initiative Against Trafficking and the Joint Labour Migration Programme are equally worthy of note.

Recently, debates about the climate–migration linkage have increasingly been considered in formal UN climate summits, particularly the annual Conference of Parties (COP) meetings. For instance, climate change migration formed part of the conversations at COP24 in Katowice in 2018. The meeting not only endorsed key recommendations by the Task Force on Displacement (TFD) but also welcomed the decision to extend the work of the TFD. In the new mandate, the TFD was saddled with the responsibility of providing guidance to the Executive Committee (Excom) of the Warsaw International Mechanism (WIM) for the implementation of the TFD workplan within the UNFCCC. The TFD recommendations and the initial workplan came about a few days ahead of the adoption of the UN Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration (GCM) in December 2018. The GCM represents the first-ever UN global agreement on a common approach to international migration. At COP25, the WIM Excom chose to organise a side event as part of the efforts to build support for the implementation of phase two of the workplan at country level. It is worth mentioning that COP25 had a specific focus on the linkages between oceans and climate change (Blue COP) – a topic of utmost relevance to migration dimensions – and support for small island developing states that are being threatened by rising sea levels and the attendant forced relocation.

In recognising the need for closer and broader cooperation, the UN and the AU authoritatively committed to a common framework – the Joint UN–AU Framework for Enhanced Partnership in Peace and Security. This occurred against the background of a mutual understanding that the continued relevance of the partnership depends on enhanced collaboration in all important aspects. Besides, agitations were rife at the time concerning the supranational-regional operational structure, which highlights the asymmetrical standing of the UN-AU partnership and, therefore, calls attention to the urgency to effect necessary transformations.
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7 The TFD was established as part of the WIM Excom under the Paris Agreement to address loss and damage associated with climate change impacts.
Barely a year afterwards (in January 2018), the two bodies finalised the AU–UN Framework on Implementation of Agenda 2063 and Agenda 2030. Under the arrangement, it is expected that both agendas, including alignment with the Paris Agreement – a worldwide climate deal concluded in 2015 – will be mainstreamed and integrated into the national planning frameworks of individual African countries and, in effect, contribute positively to people-centred and planet-sensitive structural transformation in Africa. Goal 13 – ‘Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts’ – of the UN’s Agenda 2030 is specifically dedicated to climate action. Similarly, the AU’s Agenda 2063 indicates regional commitment to accelerate actions on climate change and the environment through the implementation of the Programme on Climate Action in Africa.

Clearly, climate change is a significant issue in both agendas. Even so, the two bodies lack a common position on climate action. As a marginal contributor to global carbon emissions and, ironically, a core victim of climate change’s adverse impacts, Africa is especially disposed towards adaptation interventions. The AU (as a coordinated continental voice) minced no words in stating this regional position on climate change in its Agenda 2063. At the same time, reducing emissions in line with the Paris Agreement remains the top priority of the UN and its specialised agency, the UNFCCC. Furthermore, while Agenda 2030 treats climate change in the context of sustainable development, Agenda 2063 puts greater emphasis on its environmental imperative. The priority that climate change adaptation receives in Africa depends on the circumstances, capacity and position of individual AU member countries. Many African states do not include climate change in their top development priorities. Other issues, such as socioeconomic development, poverty eradication and addressing inequality, take precedence, even though the situation is slowly changing as the onset of extreme climatic events impacts livelihoods and poverty.

The case of migration is much worse. Agenda 2030 makes no specific mention of migration as part of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals, despite its recognition of international migration as a multidimensional reality of major relevance to the development of countries of origin, transit and destination. Human migration is, at best, subsumed as one of the planned activities under Goal 10 – ‘Reduce inequality within and among countries’. Likewise, the framers of Agenda 2063 gave no specific recognition to migration nor highlighted any specific action plan to address it, although some of the issues mentioned in the agenda concern migration one way or the other. For instance, the documented determination and call to action to eradicate poverty in Africa in the coming decades, if followed through, may help address the concern over poor socioeconomic conditions being responsible for the mass migration of Africans to other parts of the world, particularly across the Mediterranean Sea to destinations in Europe and Scandinavia. Still, such a cursory approach to migration on a continent generally depicted as being on the move is deficient in terms of the low importance attached to the subject.
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While Africa is indeed notable for mass migration (both regular and irregular), African migration remains overwhelmingly intra-continental. For example, Côte d’Ivoire and, lately, South Africa rank as two prominent destinations for intra-African migrants. Movement of African people is therefore not directed largely towards Europe and other continents outside Africa, as widely insinuated.\(^\text{12}\) In addition, migration is not peculiar to Africa and Africans. The increasing wave of Indian migration into Africa – to countries such as South Africa, Kenya, Tanzania and Mauritius – and the substantial migration of the Chinese labour force to support China’s interest and investments in Africa,\(^\text{13}\) as well as the well-known Lebanese migration into different parts of the continent, are worthy of note.

The same understanding of individual African countries with differing circumstances, priorities and capacity to respond to climate and migration challenges is driving the agenda of the AU. South Africa and Ethiopia, in particular, have shown leadership in shaping the AU agendas on these two issues. The recently developed Migration Policy Framework for Africa and Plan of Action (2018–2030)\(^\text{14}\) identifies climate change as one of the major push factors responsible for migration and mobility on the continent. However, it is yet to be seen how and when the fundamentals of this regional framework might be fused as part of the essential thematic areas in the joint implementation framework on sustainable development.

### A climate and migration partnership: Prospect and concerns

Climate change and migration are two major sustainable development issues in Africa. Tangible progress has been made in tackling climate change over the years. Notable examples are the ‘ecosystem-based adaptation for food security’ projects in the Zambezi River Basin (Malawi, Zambia and Mozambique); the Climate and Clean Air Coalition to support countries in reducing short-lived climate pollutants; and the UN Support Plan for the Sahel to scale up efforts to accelerate prosperity and sustainable development in the region. Also worth mentioning is the launch of the Africa Dialogue Series, particularly the high-level workshop on climate-related security risks and mediation held in Nairobi in May 2019. The meeting emphasised the urgent need to implement comprehensive adaptation and mitigation measures aimed at combating climate change in Africa.

These modest efforts need to be consummated through a joint climate position in line with the Paris mitigation ambition and the recently agreed UN transformative initiatives on
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global climate action.\textsuperscript{15} Similarly, actualising a common migration agenda must happen soon.

Experience from the UN and AU’s previous engagement on peace and security indicates some challenges. Key among them is concern about the unequal status of the two organisations – the UN as a supranational authority/senior partner and the AU as a continental force/junior partner. Interestingly, the two bodies are now engaging in major efforts to address some of these concerns. For instance, the scope of activities involving representatives of the two bodies has recently been expanded.

**Conclusion**

The renewed UN–AU partnership offers a unique opportunity for collaborative synergies to address climate change and migration in Africa. Prioritising these two phenomena in the renewed partnership is a necessity, and increasingly compelling. The two bodies need to engage more closely and develop a common position in response to the climate and migration challenges facing Africa. Climate change and migration should form part of the essential thematic areas in the AU–UN Framework on Implementation of Agenda 2063 and Agenda 2030. Political leadership with strong will and commitment to mutually agreed priorities and implementation plans concerning climate change migration must be identified. Lastly, collaboration in all important aspects, including joint financing, should be optimised.

**Recommendations**

- Prioritise the climate and migration agenda in the renewed UN–AU partnership with a view to align the positions of the two bodies and strengthen their respective capabilities to effectively address the challenges of climate change and migration in Africa.
- Review the AU–UN Framework on Implementation of Agenda 2063 and Agenda 2030 by incorporating climate change and migration into the essential thematic areas of the development framework.
- Ensure political leadership with strong will and commitment so that climate change and migration priorities are mainstreamed and integrated into the national planning frameworks of individual AU member countries.
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About the Africa Portal

The Africa Portal ([www.africaportal.org](http://www.africaportal.org)) is the product of a longstanding partnership between the South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) and the Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI). Launched in 2010, it provides a digital platform for thought leaders across the continent and beyond to share their informed perspectives on matters related to African development, economics and politics.

The Africa Portal also curates a comprehensive collection of research material on African policy issues. Our library holds over 8 000 research reports, occasional papers and policy briefs. The entire repository is open access, equipped with a sophisticated search function and available for free, full-text download. The material in the library has been provided by content partners of the Africa Portal who benefit from wider dissemination of their research to a global community.

About the South African Institute of International Affairs

The South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA) has a long record as South Africa’s premier research institute on international issues. It is an independent, non-government think tank whose purpose is to encourage wider and more informed awareness of the importance of international affairs. It is both a centre for research excellence and a home for stimulating public debate. The major research projects within the Institute produce a range of deliverables to policymakers and the wider public in the form of publications, policy briefs, workshops and conferences.

About the Centre for International Governance Innovation

The Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI) is an independent, non-partisan think tank whose peer-reviewed research and trusted analysis influence policymakers to innovate. Our global network of multidisciplinary researchers and strategic partnerships provide policy solutions for the digital era with one goal: to improve people’s lives everywhere. Headquartered in Waterloo, Canada, CIGI has received support from the Government of Canada, the Government of Ontario and founder Jim Balsillie.